The Effect of Health-Facility Admission and Skilled Birth Attendant Coverage on Maternal Survival in India: A Case-Control Analysis



Ann L. Montgomery¹*, Shaza Fadel¹, Rajesh Kumar², Sue Bondy³, Rahim Moineddin^{4,5}, Prabhat Jha^{1,3}

1 Centre for Global Health Research, Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute, St. Michael Hospital, Toronto, Canada, 2 School of Public Health, Post Graduate Institute of Medical Education, Chandigarh, India, 3 Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto, Canada, 4 Department of Family and Community Medicine, University of Toronto, Canada, 5 The Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences, Toronto, Canada

Abstract

Background: Research in areas of low skilled attendant coverage found that maternal mortality is paradoxically higher in women who seek obstetric care. We estimated the effect of health-facility admission on maternal survival, and how this effect varies with skilled attendant coverage across India.

Methods/Findings: Using unmatched population-based case-control analysis of national datasets, we compared the effect of health-facility admission at any time (antenatal, intrapartum, postpartum) on maternal deaths (cases) to women reporting pregnancies (controls). Probability of maternal death decreased with increasing skilled attendant coverage, among both women who were and were not admitted to a health-facility, however, the risk of death among women who were admitted was higher (at 50% coverage, OR = 2.32, 95% confidence interval 1.85–2.92) than among those women who were not; while at higher levels of coverage, the effect of health-facility admission was attenuated. In a secondary analysis, the probability of maternal death decreased with increasing coverage among both women admitted for delivery or delivered at home but there was no effect of admission for delivery on mortality risk (50% coverage, OR = 1.0, 0.80-1.25), suggesting that poor quality of obstetric care may have attenuated the benefits of facility-based care. Subpopulation analysis of obstetric hemorrhage cases and report of 'excessive bleeding' in controls showed that the probability of maternal death decreased with increasing skilled attendant coverage; but the effect of health-facility admission was attenuated (at 50% coverage, OR = 1.47, 0.95-1.79), suggesting that some of the effect in the main model can be explained by women arriving at facility with complications underway. Finally, highest risk associated with health-facility admission was clustered in women with education ≤ 8 years.

Conclusions: The effect of health-facility admission did vary by skilled attendant coverage, and this effect appears to be driven partially by reverse causality; however, inequitable access to and possibly poor quality of healthcare for primary and emergency services appears to play a role in maternal survival as well.

Citation: Montgomery AL, Fadel S, Kumar R, Bondy S, Moineddin R, et al. (2014) The Effect of Health-Facility Admission and Skilled Birth Attendant Coverage on Maternal Survival in India: A Case-Control Analysis. PLoS ONE 9(6): e95696. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0095696

Editor: Hamid Reza Baradaran, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Iran (Islamic Republic Of)

Received October 28, 2013; Accepted March 29, 2014; Published June 2, 2014

Copyright: © 2014 Montgomery et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: These authors have no support or funding to report.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: cghr@smh.on.ca

Introduction

Maternal mortality in India has declined over the last twenty years, though it continues to represent 20% of the absolute number of 285 000 maternal deaths globally [1,2]. Earlier studies have demonstrated an inverse relationship between the maternal mortality ratio (MMR) and skilled birth attendant coverage, either within-country over time or between-country comparisons [3,4], but in a systematic review, Scott et al. found conflicting evidence whether attendance by a health professional reduced a woman's risk of dying [5]. One interpretation is that, in areas of low skilled attendant coverage, maternal mortality is higher in women who do seek care because care may be more often sought for a critical obstetrical complication, and conversely, in areas of high coverage, women seek care in response to complications, as well as to avoid complications [6–11].

Using two Indian national surveys - the Sample Registration System and District Level Household Survey - we examined the effect of health-facility admission on maternal survival accounting for state level skilled attendant coverage as an effect modifier. Past studies have been limited to inter-country comparisons using a single national per cent coverage, or intra-country regional examination with single district level per cent coverage value. We hypothesized that as skilled attendant coverage increased, health-facility admission would begin to have a protective effect on maternal survival.

Methods

Study Design

We employed an unmatched population-based case-control study design to compare health-facility admission at any time in pregnancy, delivery or postpartum between cases of maternal death and control women who survived.

Study Population

Cases were identified from India's Million Death Study (MDS), a prospective, nationally representative population survey of mortality (Figure 1). Details of the methodology of the MDS are presented elsewhere [11-14]. In brief, the Registrar General of India monitors a nationally representative sample of 1.1 million households in the Sample Registration System (SRS). For every death occurring in these households from 2001-2003, a trained, non-medical survey or conducted a verbal autopsy with a relative or close acquaintance of the deceased. These records were assigned a cause of death using the International Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, 10th revision (ICD-10) [15] by two independent physicians [16]. For every deceased woman aged 15-49 years for whom the respondent answered affirmatively to the question Was the deceased pregnant, 42 day postabortion, or 42 days post-partum? or whose record had been assigned an ICD-10 O-code (obstetric cause), the verbal autopsy report was reviewed by the study authors to confirm the woman's death fulfilled the definition of a maternal death, and is further described elsewhere [17].

Controls were identified from the second round of India's District Level Health Survey (DLHS-2). Details of the DLHS-2 are provided elsewhere and summarized here [18]. The DLHS-2 was conducted in 2002–2004 to monitor reproductive and child health coverage of services and their use. All married women aged 15–44 years in a nationally representative sample of households were interviewed on topics of reproductive and child health including antenatal care uptake, skilled birth attendance care, and healthcare utilization. Data on household water and sanitation, cooking fuel, housing type, and asset ownership were collected for each household. We selected as controls all women who reported

any pregnancy outcome (live birth, stillbirth, spontaneous abortion, or induced abortion), limited to their most recent pregnancy, in the years 2001–2003.

We considered the whole sample of women as 'at-risk' of maternal death throughout the three-year survey period, drawn from the same base population. Each woman in our total study sample contributed information on their most recent pregnancy, and multiple births were recorded as one pregnancy.

Study Data

Main exposure. The main exposure was binary (yes/no) for health-facility admission during the most recent pregnancy, for any reason. This refers to admission to any health-facility that has the capacity to manage primary care (delivery, induced abortion) or obstetric complications (Table 1). During the study period, India's national healthcare strategy defined Community Health Centres (CHCs) and District Hospitals (DHs) as health-facilities with some basic emergency obstetric care as well as admission capacity. From the MDS, for all cases, informant report of admission to a health-facility was coded by one of the authors (ALM) from the open-ended narrative of the verbal autopsy using the validated Maternal Data Extraction Tool (M-DET) [19]. From the DLHS-2, for all controls, health-facility admission was ascertained through self-report of having sought obstetric care from a hospital (government or private) or a CHC [18].

Covariates. Covariates were selected a priori, having been identified in the literature to be associated with both maternal survival and obstetric access, and were selected based on the availability of relevant data in the surveys from which the cases and controls were respectively drawn (Figure 2).

Individual level. Individual-level covariates were woman's age, education level and number of antenatal care visits. Education was categorized as none, primary school (≤ 5 years), middle school (6–8 years), and secondary school (9–10 years) or above.



Figure 1. Flow diagram of sample population from national Indian datasets: MDS - Million Death Study, DLHS-2 - second round District Level Health Survey [18]. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0095696.q001

PLOS ONE | www.plosone.org

Table 1. Definition of model variables.

| Variable | Sample | Definition | Data |
|--|----------|--|------|
| Individual level Variable | | | |
| Health-facility admission | Cases | Health-facility admission | MDS |
| | Controls | Health-facility admission to CHC or DH | DLHS |
| Antenatal care | Cases | Number of antenatal visits reported by respondent | MDS |
| | Controls | Number of antenatal visits reported by woman | DLHS |
| Antenatal care (Yes/No) | Cases | Report of one or more antenatal visits | MDS |
| | Controls | Report of one or more antenatal visits | DLHS |
| Education | Cases | Years of education received | MDS |
| | Controls | Years of education received | DLHS |
| Age | Cases | Age in years | MDS |
| | Controls | Age in years | DLHS |
| District level variable ¹ | | | |
| % households at low standard of living | | Principal-component scoring of 12 variables including household water and sanitation, cooking fuel, housing type, and assets ownership | DLHS |
| State level variable ¹ | | | |
| % skilled attendant coverage | | Reported births attended by doctor, ANM, nurse, LHV | DLHS |

¹Applied to cases and controls; MDS - Million Death Study; DLHS - District Level Household Survey round 2; CHC - Community health centre; DH - District hospital; ANC - antenatal care; TT - tetanus toxoid vaccination; ANM - auxiliary nurse midwife; LHV - lady health visitor. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0095696.t001

District level. We also included one district-level and one state-level covariate, matched to each woman's place of residence using Pin (postal) codes for the MDS dataset (for cases) or district codes from the DLHS-2 (for controls). The proportion of households at a low standard-of-living in each district was collected from DLHS-2 reports, estimated from a principal-component scoring of 12 variables including household water and sanitation, cooking fuel, housing type, and assets ownership further described by the study authors [18].

State level. The proportion of births attended by skilled health personnel at home or in hospital in each state was collected from DLHS-2 reports for rural and urban areas separately. Skilled health personnel were defined as doctor, auxiliary nurse midwife, nurse or Lady Health Visitor [18].

To further describe our sample, we collected from the DLHS-2 the state-level proportions of female literacy and ≥ 3 antenatal care visits, and the cesarean delivery rate; however, we did not include these variables in our models due to their collinearity with skilled birth attendant coverage. We note that skilled attendant coverage is a surrogate marker of a number of unmeasured population factors (female literacy, contraceptive prevalence, treatment resources, quality of the skilled healthcare provider, facility density, health care expenditure, transport access and decentralized services with efficient referral network [20–22]).

In order to consider fewer levels and to reduce the complexity, and computational intensity of the model, we excluded all but one woman from each household at random under the assumption that health-facility admission within households is highly correlated and additional women would add limited information.



Figure 2. Conceptual framework of factors associated with maternal survival. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0095696.g002

Statistical Analysis

We conducted bivariate analyses comparing health-facility admission between cases and controls over each level of the individual-, district- and state-level variables. We estimated the predicted probability of maternal death for women who were and were not admitted to a health-facility across the continuum of state-level skilled attendant coverage using a random effects logistic regression model in which each woman's individual-level information is nested within districts within states. We report the adjusted OR for maternal death associated with health-facility admission at the mean national level of skilled attendant coverage, which was 50% at the time of the study period.

We constructed a random effects model based on the conceptual framework (Figure 2), incorporating an interaction term between health-facility admission and skilled attendant coverage (Appendix A in File S1). We checked for goodness-of-fit using Hosmer Lemeshow test statistic, the Loess curve, and scalar comparisons of BIC. We tested for the significance of the random intercept variance using the likelihood ratio test comparing cross-district and cross-state level variation. We estimated the intraclass correlation coefficient, *rho* (ρ), for maternal death for district- and state-level, with *sigma*² (σ ²) as the random-intercept variance [23].

We repeated the analysis of this main model using inverse probability weighting method to account for a woman's propensity to seek care [24,25] (Appendix C in File S1).

Of the total study sample, 6% of women were missing data for individual-level variables for either health-facility admission, antenatal care, age, or education level. We imputed missing values using multiple imputation by chained equations. We generated comparable estimates for imputed and complete case multivariate analysis (data not shown); therefore we assumed the missingness to be at random and would not bias the parameter estimates and all logistic regression results are presented for complete case analysis [26].

We further explored two alternative causal pathways not captured in our main model. For each, we estimated the predicted probabilities of death across the continuum of state-level skilled attendant coverage and the OR for mortality at a state-level coverage of 50%, using the same random effects model as our main model.

In the first analysis, we additionally considered quality of care to be a factor that may influence maternal survival using the WHO definition of quality of care [27]. We hypothesized that women attending a health-facility for delivery (so-called 'booked women') might have poorer outcomes compared to those women who stayed at home to deliver. Among cases, we included women whose verbal autopsy reported that admission to a health-facility was used as the 'planned place of birth' [19]. Among controls we included women who reported that a health-facility was used for delivery. In our second sub-analysis, we aimed to investigate the hypothesis that women with obstetric complications by creating a more comparable group who were more likely to be admitted to a health-facility than other women and were also more likely to die than other women, irrespective of whether they had been admitted to a health-facility. We therefore restricted this analysis to cases who died of obstetric hemorrhage (representing the largest single cause of death among all cases in the total study population (n = 296, 25% of maternal deaths)) and to controls who reported 'excessive bleeding' as a complication they experienced in either pregnancy, delivery, or up to 6 weeks postpartum (n = 17093, 13% of controls). Report of excessive bleeding has been shown to be an indicator with relatively high sensitivity and specificity for an obstetric complication compared with other symptoms (e.g. puerperal sepsis, hypertensive disorders of pregnancy); and there is evidence in the literature that reports of vaginal bleeding would likely lead to care seeking in the Indian context [28–30]. Finally, in a third sub-analysis, we used linear combinations of coefficients of the main regression model to estimate the OR of death in women who used obstetric care in educated and/or urban women, relative to rural uneducated women who did not, accounting for the interaction of obstetric access with skilled attendant coverage, at state-level skilled attendant coverage of 50%.

All analyses were conducted using Stata/SE (StataCorp. 2011. Stata Statistical Software: Release 12. College Station, TX: StataCorp LP).

Ethics

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research (Chandigarh, India), the Indian Council of Medical Research, the Indian Health Ministry's Screening Committee and the Institutional Review Board of St. Michael's Hospital (Toronto, Canada).

Results

Our total sample included 148 097 women (1096 cases of maternal deaths and 147 001 surviving control women) in 593 districts in 35 states. Given the low frequency of maternal death, the estimated odds ratio is approximately equal to the relative risk [31,32].

Forty percent of the sample was admitted to a health-facility for either primary or emergency obstetric care (41% of cases and 38% of controls). These women tended to be younger, with a higher education, in receipt of antenatal care in the pregnancy, living in high income states, and from urban areas (Table 2). Women who had been admitted to a health-facility tended to be from districts that had a lower proportion of households at a low standard of living and from states that had higher skilled attendant coverage, female literacy, antenatal care uptake, and a higher cesarean delivery rate. The proportion of skilled attendant coverage ranged from 15-99%, with half the sample living in areas of coverage ranging from 24-60% (interquartile range (IQR)). The median coverage in the poorer and richer states was 24% (IQR 21-50%) and 59% (46-84%), respectively. Similarly, the median coverage in rural and urban areas was 30% (21-50%) and 69% (54-85%), respectively.

In the main model, the probability of maternal death decreased with increasing skilled attendant coverage, among both women who were and were not admitted to a health-facility (Figure 3), however, within the interquartile range (24-60%) of coverage, the risk of death among women who were admitted to a health-facility was higher than among those women who were not; while with higher levels of coverage, there was no effect of health-facility admission. We also compared point estimates of the main model with the inverse probability weighting method, to account for a woman's propensity to access obstetric care accounting for known covariates, and estimated a comparable OR of 2.78 (95% CI 2.21-3.51) at 50% skilled attendant coverage (Appendix C in File S1). Among those who were admitted to a health-facility, there was an eight-fold decrease in the probability of maternal death as skilled attendant coverage increased from 10 to 80%, and a three-fold decrease for those who were not admitted to a health-facility. There was substantial variation in maternal deaths across districts and states (provided in Figure 3). There was a moderate level of district clustering (ρ) , which suggests that 20% of the total variation is related to the heterogeneity across districts. Coeffi-

Table 2. Sample characteristics of women, 15–49 years (n = 148 097).

| | | Cases ^a | | Controls ^b | |
|--|------------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------|
| Individual level | | n | % | n | % |
| Age (years) | 15–19 | 112 | 10.2 | 10 363 | 7.1 |
| | 20–24 | 290 | 26.5 | 48 631 | 33.1 |
| | 25–29 | 210 | 19.2 | 48 211 | 32.8 |
| | 30–34 | 183 | 16.7 | 25 574 | 17.4 |
| | 35–39 | 118 | 10.8 | 10 728 | 7.3 |
| | 40–49 | 55 | 5.0 | 3 476 | 2.4 |
| | Missing | 128 | 11.7 | 18 | 0.0 |
| Education | None | 678 | 61.9 | 72 953 | 49.6 |
| | Primary | 181 | 16.5 | 17 497 | 11.9 |
| | Middle | 96 | 8.8 | 19 793 | 13.5 |
| | \geq Secondary | 69 | 6.3 | 36 758 | 25.0 |
| | Missing | 72 | 6.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Religion | Hindu | 790 | 72.1 | 111 044 | 75.5 |
| | Muslim | 157 | 14.2 | 18 919 | 12.9 |
| | Other | 71 | 6.5 | 15 408 | 10.5 |
| | Missing | 78 | 7.2 | 1 630 | 1.11 |
| Number of antenatal visits | 0 | 264 | 24.1 | 48 288 | 32.9 |
| | 1 | 85 | 7.8 | 10 800 | 7.4 |
| | 2 | 154 | 14.0 | 21 272 | 14.5 |
| | 3 | 120 | 11.0 | 17615 | 12.0 |
| | 4 | 68 | 6.2 | 9560 | 6.5 |
| | 5 | 40 | 3.6 | 8161 | 5.6 |
| | 6 | 51 | 4.7 | 7434 | 5.1 |
| | ≥7 | 51 | 4.7 | 15 638 | 10.6 |
| | Missing | 262 | 23.9 | 8233 | 5.6 |
| Health-facility admission ^c | Yes | 453 | 41.3 | 56 627 | 38.52 |
| | No | 585 | 53.4 | 86 769 | 59.0 |
| | Missing | 58 | 5.3 | 3 605 | 2.5 |
| Reported complications | Yes | 1096 | 100.0 | 85 054 | 57.9 |
| | No | 0 | 0.0 | 61 947 | 42.1 |
| Excessive bleeding | Yes | 298 | 27.2 | 17 093 | 11.6 |
| | No | 798 | 72.8 | 129 908 | 88.4 |
| State ^d | Low-income | 723 | 70.0 | 82 764 | 56.3 |
| | High-income | 373 | 34.0 | 64 237 | 43.7 |
| Place of residence | Rural | 992 | 90.5 | 104 212 | 70.9 |
| | Urban | 104 | 9.5 | 42 789 | 29.1 |
| District level (median, IQR) | | | | | |
| % household with low standard of living ^e | | 56.6 | 41.1–65.2 | 52.9 | 34.1-64.3 |
| State level, by rural urban (median, IQR) | | | | | |
| % skilled attendant (SBA) coverage ^f | | 24.0 | 21.0-50.0 | 44.0 | 24.0-61.0 |
| % full antenatal care coverage ⁹ | | 35.0 | 19.4–57.5 | 41.4 | 26.6-66.5 |
| % cesarean delivery rate | | 2.6 | 2.3-6.0 | 5.3 | 2.3–9.3 |
| % female literacy rate | | 42.8 | 40.4-57.3 | 55.3 | 40.4-70.0 |

Datasource: Indian MDS 2001-2003 and DLHS-2.

^aMaternal deaths (cases).

^bSurviving pregnant or postpartum women (controls).

^cHealth-facility admission (cases) Health-facility admission to community health centre or district hospital (controls). ^dLow-income states Assam, Bihar, Chhattisgarh, Jharkhand, Madhya Pradesh, Orissa, Rajasthan, Uttar Pradesh, and Uttarakhand.

^eDLHS-2 Standard of living index [29]. ^fProportion of deliveries with a skilled birth attendant, at home or in a health-facility. ^gProportion of women who receive \geq 3 antenatal visits in their pregnancy. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0095696.t002

cients and p-values of the model are provided in Appendix B in File S1, Table S1.

In the secondary analysis, the probability of maternal death decreased with increasing skilled attendant coverage among both women who delivered in a health-facility and those who delivered at home (Figure 4) but there was no association of delivery in a health-facility with mortality risk. The graph illustrates a crossover such that below 50% coverage, delivery in a health-facility is a risk of mortality; whereas above 50% coverage, delivery in a health-facility is protective. Statistical interaction between skilled attendant coverage and primary care was considered and the appropriate interaction terms were added to the regression models, and tested for both interaction on the additive and multiplicative level, and neither test was statistically significant. Similarly, in our subpopulation analysis of obstetric hemorrhage cases and report of 'excessive bleeding' in controls, we again found that the predicted probability of maternal death decreased with increasing skilled attendant coverage (Figure 4); but the effect of health-facility admission was attenuated compared to our main analysis of the full study population. The graph illustrates a crossover such that below 24% coverage, health-facility admission is protective for mortality; whereas above 24% coverage, healthfacility admission is a risk. Statistical interaction between skilled attendant coverage and health-facility admission was considered and the appropriate interaction terms were added to the regression models, and tested for both interaction on the additive and multiplicative level, and neither test was statistically significant. Finally, health-facility admission was a risk for rural women with ≤ 8 years of education (Figure 5). The OR for each of these models is summarized in Figure 5 at 50% skilled attendant coverage.

Discussion

Our study of the effect of women's healthcare use during pregnancy and delivery on the risk of maternal mortality in India demonstrated that effect of health-facility admission varies by population and regional skilled attendant coverage.

Controlling for other factors, in areas with 50% skilled attendant coverage, representing the average coverage in India at the time of the survey (2001–2003), the probability of maternal death was significantly higher in women who were admitted to a health-facility than in women who were not. In areas with higher coverage, the predicted probability of maternal death was lower overall, and health-facility admission showed no association with maternal mortality risk.

If poor quality of care was driving this effect of higher mortality risk among women who were admitted to a health-facility, then we would also expect that women who delivered in a health-facility would have poorer outcomes than women delivering at home. Instead, there was no statistical association between delivery in a health-facility and risk of maternal death, indicating that poor quality of care does not wholly explain our results. Further, we describe a cross-over effect at 50% skilled attendant coverage, where delivery in a health facility begins to show a protective effect, albeit not statistically significant. Secondly, we accounted for a prognostic factor in a subpopulation who reported vaginal bleeding, in order to account for confounding of disease severity causing both care-seeking and death (referred to as 'home birth



Figure 3. Predicted probability of maternal death by % skilled attendant coverage over health-facility admission. Datasouce: Indian MDS 2001–2003 and DLHS-2. Regression models adjusted for: fixed effects - receipt of antenatal care, age, age^2 , education, place of residence (rural/ urban), district level standard of living, and interaction between health-facility admission and skilled attendant coverage; random effects - district cluster, state cluster. Presented with 95% CI. Women (n = 139 321) in districts (n = 593) in states (n = 35); random effects $\sigma_{district} = 0.78$, $\rho_{district} = 0.22$, $\sigma_{state} = 0.49$, $\rho_{state} = 0.08$. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0095696.g003



Figure 4. Predicted probability of maternal death by % skilled attendant coverage over (4a) delivery in health-facility and (4b) health-facility admission in sub-population of obstetric hemorrhage. Datasouce: Indian MDS 2001–2003 and DLHS-2. Adjusted for receipt of antenatal care, age, age^2 , education, place of residence (rural/urban), district level standard of living, and interaction between skilled attendant coverage and (4a) delivery in a health-facility or (4b) health-facility admission. Presented with 95% CI. (4a) Women (n = 139 417) in districts (n = 593) in states (n = 35); random effects $\sigma_{district} = 0.74 \rho_{district} = 0.21 \sigma_{state} = 0.08$ (4b) Women (n = 17 391) in districts (n = 593) in states (n = 35); random effects $\sigma_{district} = 0.37$, $\sigma_{state} = 0.05$, $\rho_{state} = 0.08$. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0095696.q004

bias' [33], self-selection bias [6,34], reverse causality [35], or common cause confounding [36]). We demonstrated an attenuation of the measure of effect, suggesting that some of the effect in the main model can be explained by women arriving at the facility

with complications underway. Thirdly, we did find that healthfacility admission was associated with higher relative risk of maternal mortality in women with lower compared to higher education, at average skilled attendant coverage (50%).



Figure 5. Odds ratio of maternal death by exposure at 50% skilled attendant coverage. Datasource: MDS and DLHS-2 2001–2003. All regression models adjusted for: fixed effects - receipt of antenatal care, age, age^2 , education, place of residence (rural/urban), district level standard of living, and interaction between main exposure and skilled attendant coverage; random effects - district cluster, state cluster. (1) Main exposure: health-facility admission (1a–1d - linear combinations of coefficients after estimation of this regression model) (2) Main exposure: delivery in health-facility (Routine) (3) Main exposure: health-facility admission; main outcome: hemorrhage in subpopulation. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0095696.q005

We took several steps to address the reverse causality thought to be at play. We accounted for skilled attendant coverage as well as biases operating through (delivery in a health-facility and hemorrhage events) and the individual woman's characteristics (SES), using random effects logistic regression modelling and a novel application of inverse probability weighting (Appendix C in File S1, Figures S1-S3). We hypothesized that accounting for skilled attendant coverage would address the limitations of past studies, which found a higher odds of death in those women who accessed obstetric care in areas of low coverage [6,7,37,38]. We hypothesized that as skilled attendant coverage increased, health-facility admission would begin to have a protective effect on maternal survival, yet we did not find a protective effect of health-facility admission in areas of high coverage and we propose that the reasons for this could be methodological, or a reflection of inequitable access and poor quality of care, or a mix of both of these.

The study limitations are in the form of differential misclassification of health-facility admission, which may have occurred since proxy respondents were interviewed for cases, while control women were interviewed directly. We conducted a sensitivity analysis, varying the estimated sensitivity and specificity of reported obstetric access for cases and controls and we replicated the same crude point estimate, providing some reassurance that potential differential misclassification of exposure was not a major issue (Appendix D in File S1, Figure S4, for further discussion). In addition to misclassification, health-facility admission is a crude proxy for what is really undefined multiple versions of treatment as quality and availability of care likely varied by geographical and SES distribution [39]. This error in exposure measurement may have biased the results towards null in areas of high coverage. Finally, maternal mortality is rare, and in order to show a difference in the OR in the highest skilled attendant coverage quartile, a large sample size (tens of thousands of cases) would be required.

Second, we considered inequitable access and poor quality of care as an explanation for our results. India has one of the highest out-of-pocket expenditure on health in the world and 42% of the population in poverty [40-43]. Of 54 countries reviewed for distribution of antenatal care and skilled birth attendance by wealth index, India was categorized in the top 10 of 'highly inequitable' countries [44]. Several Indian studies have shown that women of low SES use fewer services, and the services they do use is of poorer quality [45-51]; conversely, Tamil Nadu state has improved maternal outcomes and has credited programs specific to increasing access to care for marginalized women [52,53]. In the analysis using the alternative hypothesis using delivery in a health-facility as the exposure, there was no statistically significant effect on maternal death, suggesting that quality of care does not wholly explain the effect, as women who delivered in a healthfacility did not have better outcomes in areas of low coverage, and no statistically significant protective effect in areas of high coverage. As well, in the subpopulation analysis of hemorrhage events, there was an attenuation of effect, suggesting that some of the effect in the main analysis is due to women first accessing services in a critical condition. And finally, for women with ≤ 8 years of education, health-facility admission was an excess risk for this subpopulation of the sample. These points suggests that there is a clustering of both poor outcomes and poor care among the poorest women, even in areas of high coverage [54].

Our study provides a baseline for further research on the effect of facility-based obstetric care on maternal survival. In 2005, the Indian government introduced a conditional cash-transfer policy to increase skilled birth attendant uptake, which has resulted in some success in increasing coverage [55,56], though its impact on maternal and neonatal mortality appears to have been limited [54,57–60]. Further, the National Rural Health Mission policy in 2005 has led to a significant increase in the number and emergency obstetric care (EmOC) capacity of CHCs and DHs in rural areas of poorer states.

Our results suggest that increasing skilled attendant coverage is a necessary, if not sufficient, condition for decreasing maternal mortality. However, we caution that coverage is a surrogate marker of a number of unmeasured population factors also requiring government investment [20–22]. Health services, such as obstetric care, can contribute to better outcomes for women and their newborns, and have shown to contribute to a reduction in inequity in health, especially when primary care services are explicitly considered [21,53,61–63]. The effect of health-facility admission did vary by skilled attendant coverage, and this effect appears to be driven partially by reverse causality; however, inequitable access to and possibly poor quality of healthcare for primary and emergency services appears to play a role in maternal survival as well.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Standardized difference of means before and after weighting. Datasouce: Indian MDS 2001–2003 and DLHS-2. Pnc_edu_v3 - interaction of receipt of antenatal care (ANC) and education; d_fullanc_sba - interaction of district level % population in receipt of 3 ANC visits and % of skilled birth attendance; d_low_sli V02 - district level of % of households living at low standard of living; rural - place of residence (rural urban); eaga - low income states (yes/no); age - age (years); rel_tri - religion (Hindu, Muslim, other).

(TIFF)

Figure S2 Predicted probability of death by % skilled attendant coverage over health-facility admission, using inverse probability weighting method. Datasouce: Indian MDS 2001–2003 and DLHS-2. Inverse probability weighting accounts for interaction between health-facility admission and skilled attendant coverage. Presented with 95% CI, assuming independence.

(TIFF)

Figure S3 Odds ratio of death of random effects model and inverse probability weighted model at 50% skilled attendant coverage. Datasource: MDS and DLHS-2 2001– 2003. Model adjusted for: fixed effects - receipt of antenatal care, age, *age*², education, place of residence (rural/urban), district level standard of living, and interaction between health-facility admission and skilled attendant coverage; random effects - district cluster, state cluster. Inverse probability weighting accounts for interaction between health-facility admission and skilled attendant coverage. Presented with 95% CI, assuming independence. (TIFF)

Figure S4 Assessment of misclassification bias. Datasource: MDS and DLHS-2 2001–2003. Crude odds ratio of maternal death given health-facility admission. Estimation of stochastic differential error in which health-facility admission classification for cases is 80–88% sensitivity and 90–95% specificity, and health-facility admission classification for controls is 90–95% sensitivity and 90–95% specificity; 2000 simulations. (TIFF)

File S1

(PDF)

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: AM SF SB RM PJ. Analyzed the data: AM SF. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: RK. Wrote the paper: AM SF RK SB RM PJ.

References

- 1. WHO UNICEF, UNFPA, World Bank (2012) Trends in maternal mortality: 1990 to 2010. Geneva: World Health Organization.
- RGI (2011) Special bulletin on maternal mortality in India 2007–09. New Delhi: Office of the Registrar General.
- Graham WJ, Bell JS, Bullough CHW (2001) Can skilled attendance at delivery reduce maternal mortality in developing countries? Antwerp: ITGPress. 97–130.
- 4. Loudon I (1992) Death in childbirth, illustrated edition. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Scott S, Ronsmans C (2009) The relationship between birth with a health professional and maternal mortality in observational studies: a review of the literature. Trop Med Int Health 14: 1523–1533.
- Ronsmans C, Chowdhury ME, Koblinsky M, Ahmed A (2010) Care seeking at time of childbirth, and maternal and perinatal mortality in Matlab, Bangladesh. Bull World Health Organ 88: 289–296.
- Ronsmans C, Scott S, Qomariyah SN, Achadi E, Braunholtz D, et al. (2009) Professional assistance during birth and maternal mortality in two Indonesian districts. Bull World Health Organ 87: 416–423.
- Filippi V, Ronsmans C, Gohou V, Goufodji S, Lardi M, et al. (2005) Maternity wards or emergency obstetric rooms: incidence of near-miss events in African hospitals. Acta Obstet Gyn Scand 84: 11–16.
- Adisasmita A, Deviany PE, Nandiaty F, Stanton C, Ronsmans C (2008) Obstetric near miss and deaths in public and private hospitals in Indonesia. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 8: 1–9.
- Ronsmans C, Etard JF, Walraven G, Høj L, Dumont A, et al. (2003) Maternal mortality and access to obstetric services in West Africa. Trop Med Int Health 8: 940–948.
- Montgomery AL, Ram U, Kumar R, Jha P (2013) Maternal mortality in India: causes and healthcare service use based on a nationally representative survey. PLOS One 9(1): e83331
- Jha P, Gajalakshmi V, Gupta PC, Kumar R, Mony P, et al. (2006) Prospective study of one million deaths in India: rationale, design, and validation results. PLoS Med 3: e18.
- Jha P, Jacob B, Gajalakshmi V, Gupta PC, Dhingra N, et al. (2008) A nationally representative case-control study of smoking and death in India. N Engl J Med 358: 1137–1147.
- RGI (2006) Registrar General/Centre for Global Health Research, University of Toronto. New Delhi: Registrar General of India.
- WHO (1994) International statistical classification of diseases and related health problems, 10th revision (ICD-10). Geneva: World Health Organization.
- Sinha D, Dikshit R, Kumar V, Gajalakshmi V, Dingra N, et al. (2006) Technical document VII: health care professional's manual for assigning causes of death based on RHIME household reports. Toronto: Centre for Global Health Research.
- Montgomery AL, Morris SK, Bassani DG, Kumar R, Jotkar R, et al. (2012) Factors associated with physician agreement and coding choices of cause of death using verbal autopsies for 1130 maternal deaths in India. PLOS One 7: e33075.
- IIPS (2006) Reproductive and child health: district level household survey 2002– 2004. Mumbai: International Institute for Population Sciences.
- Montgomery AL, Morris SK, Kumar R, Jotkar R, Mony P, et al. (2011) Capturing the context of maternal deaths from verbal autopsies: a reliability study of the maternal data extraction tool (M-DET). PLOS One 6: e14637.
- McClure EM, Goldenberg RL, Bann CM (2007) Maternal mortality, stillbirth and measures of obstetric care in developing and developed countries. Int J Gynecol Obstet 96: 139–146.
- Haththotuwa R, Senanayake L, Senarath U, Attygalle D (2012) Models of care that have reduced maternal mortality and morbidity in Sri Lanka. Int J Gynecol Obstet 119: S45–S49.
- Betrán AP, Wojdyla D, Posner SF, Gülmezoglu AM (2005) National estimates for maternal mortality: an analysis based on the WHO systematic review of maternal mortality and morbidity. BMC Public Health 5: 131.
- Rabe-Hesketh S, Skrondal A (2012) Multilevel and longitudinal modeling using Stata: categorical responses, counts, and survival, 3rd edition. College Station: Stata Press Publication.
- Hernán MA, Robins JM (2013) Casual inference. New York: Chapman and Hall/CRC.
- Austin PC (2011) A tutorial and case study in propensity score analysis: an application to estimating the effect of in-hospital smoking cessation counseling on mortality. Multivar Behav Res 46: 119–151.
- Rubin DB (1987) Multiple imputation for nonresponse in surveys. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- WHO (2006) Quality of care: a process for making strategic choices in health systems. Geneva: World Health Organization.

- Filippi V, Ronsmans C, Gandaho T, Graham W, Alihonou E, et al. (2000) Women's reports of severe (near-miss) obstetric complications in Benin. Stud Fam Plann 31: 309–324.
- Bang RA, Bang AT, Reddy MH, Deshmukh MD, Baitule SB, et al. (2004) Maternal morbidity during labour and the puerperium in rural homes and the need for medical attention: a prospective observational study in Gadchiroli, India. BJOG 111: 231–238.
- More NS, Alcock G, Das S, Bapat U, Joshi W, et al. (2011) Spoilt for choice: cross-sectional study of care-seeking for health problems during pregnancy in Mumbai slums. Glob Public Health 6: 746–759.
- Cornfield J (1951) A method of estimating comparative rates from clinical data; applications to cancer of the lung, breast, and cervix. J Natl Cancer Inst 11: 1269–1275.
- Miettinen O (1976) Estimability and estimation in case-referent studies. Am J Epidemiol 103: 226–235.
- De Vries R, Lemmens T (2006) The social and cultural shaping of medical evidence: case studies from pharmaceutical research and obstetric science. Soc Sci Med 62: 2694–2706.
- Collin SM, Anwar I, Ronsmans C (2007) A decade of inequality in maternity care: antenatal care, professional attendance at delivery, and caesarean section in Bangladesh (1991–2004). Int J Equity Health 6: 9.
- Rothman KJ, Greenland S, Lash TL (2008) Modern epidemiology. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
- Hernán MA, Hernández-Díaz S, Robins JM (2004) A structural approach to selection bias. Epidemiology 15: 615–625.
- Midhet F, Becker S, Berendes HW (1998) Contextual determinants of maternal mortality in rural pakistan. Soc Sci Med 46: 1587–1598.
- Brentlinger PE, Sánchez-Pérez HJ, Cedeño MA, Morales LGV, HernánMA, et al. (2005) Pregnancy outcomes, site of delivery, and community schisms in regions affected by the armed conflict in chiapas, Mexico. Soc Sci Med 61: 1001–14.
- Hernán MA, VanderWeele TJ (2011) Compound treatments and transportability of causal inference. Epidemiology 22: 368–77.
- WHO (2012) WHO global health expenditure atlas. Geneva: World Health Organization.
- Horton R, Das P (2011) Indian health: the path from crisis to progress. Lancet 377: 181–183.
- Shiffman J, Ved RR (2007) The state of political priority for safe motherhood in India. BJOG 114: 785–790.
- Rao M, Rao KD, Kumar AKS, Chatterjee M, Sundararaman T (2011) Human resources for health in India. Lancet 377: 587–598.
- 44. Barros AJD, Ronsmans C, Axelson H, Loaiza E, Bertoldi AD, et al. (2012) Equity in maternal, newborn, and child health interventions in countdown to 2015: a retrospective review of survey data from 54 countries. Lancet 379: 1225– 1233.
- Singh A, Noor AM, Pallikadavath S, Ram F, Ogollah R (2012) Inequalities in advice provided by public health workers to women during antenatal sessions in rural India. PLOS One 7: e44931.
- Goli S, Doshi R, Perianayagam A (2013) Pathways of economic inequalities in maternal and child health in urban India: a decomposition analysis. PLOS One 8: e58573.
- Pathak PK, Singh A, Subramanian SV (2010) Economic inequalities in maternal health care: prenatal care and skilled birth attendance in India, 1992–2006. PLOS One 5: e13593.
- Finlayson K, Downe S (2013) Why do women not use antenatal services in lowand middle-income: a meta-synthesis of qualitative studies. PLOS Med 10: e1001373.
- Iyengar K, Iyengar SD, Suhalka V, Dashora K (2009) Pregnancy-related deaths in rural rajasthan, India: exploring causes, context, and care-seeking through verbal autopsy. J Health Popul Nutr 27: 293–302.
- Das J, Hammer J (2007) Location, location, location: residence, wealth, and the quality of medical care in delhi, India. Health Aff (Millwood) 26: w338–351.
- Hulton LA, Matthews Z, Stones RW (2007) Applying a framework for assessing the quality of maternal health services in urban India. Soc Sci Med 64: 2083– 2095.
- Padmanaban P, Raman PS, Mavalankar DV (2009) Innovations and challenges in reducing maternal mortality in tamil nadu, India. J Health Popul Nutr 27: 202–219.
- Balabanova D, Mills A, Conteh L, Akkazieva B, Banteyerga H, et al. (2013) Good health at low cost 25 years on: lessons for the future of health systems strengthening. Lancet 381: 2118–2133.
- Graham WJ, McCaw-Binns A, Munjanja S (2013) Translating coverage gains into health gains for all women and children: the quality care opportunity. PLOS Med 10: e1001368.

- 55. RGI (2010) Sample Registration System. New Delhi: Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India.
- Crowe S, Utley M, Costello A, Pagel C (2012) How many births in sub-saharan africa and south asia will not be attended by a skilled birth attendant between 2011 and 2015? BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 12: 4.
- Lim SS, Dandona L, Hoisington JA, James SL, Hogan MC, et al. (2010) India's janani suraksha yojana, a conditional cash transfer programme to increase births in health facilities: an impact evaluation. Lancet 375: 2009–2023.
- Raj SS, Maine D, Sahoo PK, Manthri S, Chauhan K (2013) Meeting the community halfway to reduce maternal deaths: evidence from a communitybased maternal death review in uttar pradesh, India. Global Health Sci Pract 1: 84–96.
- Sri S, Khanna R (2011) Maternal deaths and denial of maternal care in Barwani District, Madhya Pradesh: issues and concerns. Mumbai: CommonHealth.
- Souza JP, Gülmezoglu AM, Vogel J, Carroli G, Lumbiganon P, et al. (2013) Moving beyond essential interventions for reduction of maternal mortality (the WHO multicountry survey on maternal and newborn health): a cross-sectional study. Lancet 381: 1747–1755.
- Starfield B (2007) Pathways of influence on equity in health. Soc Sci Med 64: 1355–62.
- Victora CG, Bryce J, Fontaine O, Monasch R (2000) Reducing deaths from diarrhoea through oral rehydration therapy. Bull World Health Organ 78: 1246–1255.
- Fournier P, Dumont A, Tourigny C, Dunkley G, Dramé S (2009) Improved access to comprehensive emergency obstetric care and its effect on institutional maternal mortality in rural Mali. BullWorld Health Organ 87: 30–38.